


### Q1 What factor was most important to you in deciding to attend today's program?

Answered: 32 Skipped: 1




Answer Choices	Responses
Convenience	3.13% 1
Price	0.00% 0
Relevance to your practice area	84.38% 27
Speaker(s)	3.13% 1
Program content	21.88% 7
<b>Total Respondents: 32</b>	

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	Live	9/14/2015 12:16 PM
2	Number of available CLE hours, plus topic of interest.	9/11/2015 6:49 PM

## Q2 How did you learn about this program?

Answered: 25 Skipped: 8



Answer Choices	Responses
Bar Bulletin CLE Planner (color insert)	24.00% 6
CLE Website	16.00% 4
Bar Bulletin CLE ad	16.00% 4
State Bar E-news (weekly e-newsletter)	12.00% 3
Bar Bulletin Calendar	4.00% 1
E-mail from State Bar	48.00% 12
Facebook	0.00% 0
Twitter	0.00% 0
<b>Total Respondents: 25</b>	


#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	Member of Board of Appellate Practice Section	9/18/2015 11:08 AM
2	member of Appellate Practice Section board	9/18/2015 10:38 AM
3	word of mouth	9/18/2015 10:33 AM
4	Section board member	9/15/2015 9:28 AM
5	word of mouth	9/14/2015 12:16 PM

## 26th Annual Appellate Practice Institute

6	office	9/14/2015 8:30 AM
7	Employer	9/12/2015 5:07 PM
8	colleague	9/11/2015 8:05 PM

### Q3 How much notice do you need to plan to attend a CLE?

Answered: 31 Skipped: 2




Answer Choices	Responses
2 Months	29.03% 9
1 Month	41.94% 13
2 Weeks	22.58% 7
1 Week	6.45% 2
<b>Total</b>	<b>31</b>

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	I can do a month	9/14/2015 12:16 PM
2	As a state government attoreny, we need to get approval and that takes some lead time.	9/14/2015 7:42 AM
3	1-2 months is best	9/12/2015 5:07 PM

### Q4 I prefer the following types of programs:

Answered: 32 Skipped: 1




Answer Choices	Responses
Live Programs	81.25% 26
Live Webcasts	31.25% 10
Video Replays at State Bar	3.13% 1
Teleseminars	0.00% 0
Self Study	6.25% 2
<b>Total Respondents: 32</b>	

#	Other (please specify)	Date
	There are no responses.	

### Q5 If you attended the program by webcast, how was the quality?

Answered: 22 Skipped: 11




	Poor	Fine	Excellent	N/A	Total	Weighted Average
(no label)	0.00% 0	9.09% 2	18.18% 4	72.73% 16	22	3.64

#	Comments	Date
	There are no responses.	

## Q6 Faculty Evaluation

Answered: 33 Skipped: 0



	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A	Total	Weighted Average
Edward Ricco	48.48% 16	39.39% 13	6.06% 2	6.06% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	33	4.30
C. David Henderson	36.36% 12	48.48% 16	9.09% 3	3.03% 1	3.03% 1	0.00% 0	33	4.12
Hon. Richard Bosson	57.58% 19	33.33% 11	6.06% 2	3.03% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	33	4.45
Joey Moya	60.61% 20	27.27% 9	6.06% 2	6.06% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	33	4.42
Hon. Jimmie V. Reyna	56.25% 18	28.13% 9	6.25% 2	6.25% 2	3.13% 1	0.00% 0	32	4.28
Hon. Linda Vanzi	24.24% 8	51.52% 17	21.21% 7	3.03% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	33	3.97
Kerry Kiernan	24.24% 8	51.52% 17	18.18% 6	6.06% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	33	3.94


## 26th Annual Appellate Practice Institute

Hon. Michael D. Bustamante	<b>27.27%</b> 9	<b>54.55%</b> 18	<b>6.06%</b> 2	<b>9.09%</b> 3	<b>3.03%</b> 1	<b>0.00%</b> 0	33	3.94
Thomas C. Bird	<b>27.27%</b> 9	<b>42.42%</b> 14	<b>18.18%</b> 6	<b>12.12%</b> 4	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>0.00%</b> 0	33	3.85
Zach Ives	<b>18.18%</b> 6	<b>36.36%</b> 12	<b>15.15%</b> 5	<b>18.18%</b> 6	<b>12.12%</b> 4	<b>0.00%</b> 0	33	3.30
Andrew Montgomery	<b>30.30%</b> 10	<b>36.36%</b> 12	<b>6.06%</b> 2	<b>18.18%</b> 6	<b>9.09%</b> 3	<b>0.00%</b> 0	33	3.61



### Q7 My area of Practice is:

Answered: 27 Skipped: 6




Answer Choices	Responses
Solo or Small Firm	37.04% 10
Corporate	11.11% 3
Government	55.56% 15
Paralegal	0.00% 0
Legal Service Provider	0.00% 0
<b>Total Respondents: 27</b>	

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	larger firm	9/18/2015 10:38 AM
2	Large firm	9/15/2015 9:28 AM
3	large firm	9/14/2015 2:37 PM
4	Private non-profit firm.	9/14/2015 10:16 AM
5	Law Firm	9/12/2015 1:31 PM
6	Mid sized firm	9/11/2015 4:58 PM

### Q8 How many years have you been in your area of practice?


Answered: 33 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses
0-5 Years	15.15% 5
6-10 Years	12.12% 4
11-15 Years	9.09% 3
Over 15 Years	63.64% 21
<b>Total</b>	<b>33</b>

### Q9 Which of the following best describes your age?

Answered: 33 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses	
25-34	9.09%	3
35-44	24.24%	8
45-54	21.21%	7
55 +	45.45%	15
<b>Total</b>		<b>33</b>

## 26th Annual Appellate Practice Institute

### Q10 Comments:

Answered: 11 Skipped: 22

#	Responses	Date
1	I think it would be better to have a panel discussion or something else very exciting after the afternoon break to keep the attendees alert.	9/18/2015 10:36 AM
2	I was a little disturbed by having Alice Lorentz give her opinion on how to appeal from a bench trial where there are many findings of fact when she had just been reprimanded by the Court of Appeals for her approach to exactly that issue.	9/16/2015 3:37 PM
3	Great job by all the presenters and kudos to the API planning committee for coming up with great topics. Judge Reyna's presentation was excellent.	9/15/2015 9:28 AM
4	The Appellate Practice Institute is always an invaluable opportunity to learn about new developments and to interact with other practitioners in the appellate area. This year's program was particularly good.	9/14/2015 2:37 PM
5	Would like some general purpose live seminars like this in the first quarter of the year---I can take one and then not be under the gun for credits at the end of the year.	9/14/2015 12:16 PM
6	The quality of the sound was poor, which meant that certain speakers were hard to hear. This was particularly the case with one of the speakers on the last panel because he spoke quite softly.	9/14/2015 10:16 AM
7	It would help to remind speakers that no matter how good the microphone and sound system, the audience will better hear speakers that articulate their words and project outward while speaking.	9/14/2015 8:30 AM
8	I thought the program was well structured, covered basic issues concerning new developments in appellate practice, and involved many relevant subjects which allowed the speakers to offer practical advice about how to handle issues that arise on appeal. You should have included Judge Clingman in the speakers to be evaluated, I thought he was particularly informative about issues that arise in bench trials that have implications for appeals. Alice Lorenz was also excellent on that panel.	9/12/2015 1:29 PM
9	Speakers for ALL topics should provide an outline. The 'flip-up' desktops are small but otherwise the room was fine. This was my first CLE class in NM (previously all have been in Texas), and I found it very high quality. Thank you to the NM Bar staffer at the registration desk who said she'd handle the submission of my CLE credits to the TX state bar.	9/11/2015 6:49 PM
10	Excellent program thank you for sponsoring it	9/11/2015 5:06 PM
11	The frankness of Justice Bosson and Judge Bustamante were very refreshing and very much appreciated. Please pass on our sincere thanks.	9/11/2015 4:47 PM