


Q1 Overall, how would you rate the quality of this CLE Program?

Answered: 18 Skipped: 0




Answer Choices	Responses
Excellent	50.00% 9
Very Good	22.22% 4
Good	22.22% 4
Fair	5.56% 1
Poor	0.00% 0
Total	18

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	Except for keynote speaker	9/17/2016 7:26 AM

Q2 What factor was most important to you in deciding to attend today's program?

Answered: 17 Skipped: 1




Answer Choices	Responses
Convenience	23.53% 4
Price	5.88% 1
Relevance to your practice area	70.59% 12
Speaker(s)	23.53% 4
Program content	47.06% 8
Total Respondents: 17	

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	I wanted to get an intro to appellate practice.	9/19/2016 11:27 AM
2	I regularly attend the Appellate Practice Institute	9/18/2016 11:54 AM
3	All of the above.	9/17/2016 4:29 PM
4	amount of cle credits	9/17/2016 5:33 AM

Q3 How did you learn about this program?

Answered: 18 Skipped: 0




Answer Choices	Responses
Bar Bulletin CLE Planner (color insert)	22.22% 4
CLE Website	27.78% 5
Bar Bulletin CLE ad	11.11% 2
State Bar E-news (weekly e-newsletter)	5.56% 1
Bar Bulletin Calendar	11.11% 2
E-mail from State Bar	33.33% 6
Facebook	0.00% 0
Twitter	0.00% 0
Total Respondents: 18	

#	Other (please specify)	Date
	There are no responses.	

Q4 How much notice do you need to plan to attend a CLE?

Answered: 18 Skipped: 0




Answer Choices	Responses
2 Months	27.78% 5
1 Month	50.00% 9
2 Weeks	16.67% 3
1 Week	5.56% 1
Total	18

#	Other (please specify)	Date
	There are no responses.	

Q5 I prefer the following types of programs:

Answered: 18 Skipped: 0




Answer Choices	Responses
Live Programs	77.78% 14
Live Webcasts	27.78% 5
Video Replays at State Bar	5.56% 1
Teleseminars	5.56% 1
Self Study	5.56% 1
Total Respondents: 18	

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	Live webcasts are okay as is a video replay of a good seminar.	9/17/2016 4:29 PM

Q6 Faculty Evaluation

Answered: 18 Skipped: 0




	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A	Total	Weighted Average
David Aemmer	52.94% 9	29.41% 5	17.65% 3	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	17	4.35
Timothy J. Adler	38.89% 7	33.33% 6	22.22% 4	5.56% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	18	4.06

27th Annual Appellate Practice Institute

Judge C. Shannon Bacon	55.56% 10	33.33% 6	11.11% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	18	4.44
Chief Justice Charles W. Daniels	61.11% 11	22.22% 4	16.67% 3	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	18	4.44
Jocelyn Drennan	50.00% 9	22.22% 4	22.22% 4	5.56% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	18	4.17
Jane Gagne	38.89% 7	38.89% 7	16.67% 3	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	5.56% 1	18	4.24
Judge J. Miles Hanisee	50.00% 9	33.33% 6	16.67% 3	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	18	4.33
C. David Henderson	41.18% 7	35.29% 6	17.65% 3	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	5.88% 1	17	4.25
Alice Tomlinson Lorenz	47.06% 8	29.41% 5	23.53% 4	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	17	4.24
Robert Rambo	55.56% 10	27.78% 5	16.67% 3	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	18	4.39
Mark Reynolds	50.00% 9	33.33% 6	11.11% 2	5.56% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	18	4.28
Edward Ricco	61.11% 11	16.67% 3	22.22% 4	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	18	4.39
Judge David K. Thomson	47.06% 8	29.41% 5	17.65% 3	5.88% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	17	4.18
Judge Linda M. Vanzi	44.44% 8	38.89% 7	16.67% 3	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	18	4.28
Judge James J. Wechsler	50.00% 9	27.78% 5	22.22% 4	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	18	4.28

Q7 My area of Practice is:

Answered: 16 Skipped: 2




Answer Choices	Responses
Solo or Small Firm	62.50% 10
Corporate	6.25% 1
Government	31.25% 5
Paralegal	0.00% 0
Legal Service Provider	0.00% 0
Total Respondents: 16	

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	Firm	9/17/2016 7:26 AM
2	Large firm	9/16/2016 4:59 PM

Q8 How many years have you been in your area of practice?


Answered: 18 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses
0-5 Years	11.11% 2
6-10 Years	16.67% 3
11-15 Years	5.56% 1
Over 15 Years	66.67% 12
Total	18

Q9 Which of the following best describes your age?


Answered: 18 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses
25-34	5.56% 1
35-44	11.11% 2
45-54	33.33% 6
55 +	50.00% 9
Total	18

Q10 I prefer the following types of learning methods:


Answered: 18 Skipped: 0




Answer Choices	Responses
Lecture	83.33% 15
Large Group Discussion	27.78% 5
Small Group Discussion	11.11% 2
Case Study	11.11% 2
Role Play (Mock Trials, etc.)	11.11% 2
Total Respondents: 18	

Q11 What other CLE topics are of interest to you?

Answered: 18 Skipped: 0



27th Annual Appellate Practice Institute



Answer Choices	Responses	
Animal Law	11.11%	2
Appellate Practice	44.44%	8
Bankruptcy Law	0.00%	0
Business Law	11.11%	2
Children's Law	11.11%	2
Criminal Law	16.67%	3
Elder Law	5.56%	1
Employment Law	22.22%	4
Family Law	0.00%	0
Government/Administrative Law	38.89%	7
Health Law	5.56%	1
Immigration Law	5.56%	1
Indian Law	11.11%	2
Intellectual Property Law	11.11%	2
Law Practice Management	5.56%	1
Litigation/Personal Injury	27.78%	5
Natural Resources/Environmental Law/Energy Law	27.78%	5
Oil & Gas Law	16.67%	3
Public Law	22.22%	4
Real Property	0.00%	0
Taxation Law	0.00%	0
Trial Practice	33.33%	6

27th Annual Appellate Practice Institute

Trust and Estate Law	11.11%	2
Water Law	27.78%	5
Total Respondents: 18		

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	Insurance.	9/17/2016 4:29 PM
2	Open records	9/16/2016 4:58 PM

Q12 Who can present this topic? (Please list name and contact information if available)

Answered: 2 Skipped: 16

#	Responses	Date
1	Attorneys in this practice area, senior level person at insurance brokerage (e.g., Marsh & McLennan), and State insurance commissioner. I'm relatively new to NM and can't identify specific individuals.	9/17/2016 4:29 PM
2	David H. Getches (key note speaker)	9/17/2016 5:33 AM

Q13 Do you have any other comments, questions, or concerns?

Answered: 11 Skipped: 7

#	Responses	Date
1	Thank you for putting on this seminar. From my perspective, more practical advice and suggestions about how to make more persuasive appellate arguments (both by briefing & at oral argument) would have been helpful. The keynote speaker did not seem to me to actually address the announced subject of his talk which was "Taking Appellate Practice to the Next Level". That topic, handled properly, could have been of great benefit to practitioners. Several speakers did not have written materials which was disappointing. In order to save paper, it is not necessary to include lengthy Court Opinions or statutes which are readily available to us.	9/19/2016 1:43 PM
2	THE KEYNOTE SPEAKER WAS A COMPLETE WASTE OF TIME	9/19/2016 11:32 AM
3	Thanks very much to the Appellate Practice Section for putting together another edifying program.	9/19/2016 11:25 AM
4	Ed Ricco was an excellent CLE leader.	9/19/2016 8:18 AM
5	One of the topics of this year's program was appeals from administrative agency proceedings. Presentation of that topic should have included somebody speaking from an agency perspective and somebody speaking from the perspective of those who regularly participate in agency proceedings and appeals.	9/18/2016 11:54 AM
6	The keynote speaker was very disappointing. Too much about him, no useful content.	9/18/2016 10:48 AM
7	Was disappointed with Howard Bashman. The title 'Taking Appellate Practice to the Next Level' had me expecting some practice pointers, rather than anecdotes about himself. Believe that each speaker should provide written materials, if only an annotated outline. The NM Bar staff are great. Thanks.	9/17/2016 4:29 PM
8	The only speaker I felt was not as valuable was the keynote speaker. His content was focused on his accomplishments rather than useful knowledge like the others. Otherwise this was one of the most informative cles I have attended.	9/17/2016 7:28 AM
9	Keynote speaker was insufferable. The talk was a braggadocio-riddled, self-congratulatory autobiography, with no substantive content. I have never experienced the like of it and hope I never do. The rest of the program was top notch.	9/17/2016 7:26 AM
10	Howard Bashman was a very bad presenter. He presented only his narcissism. The title of his presentation "Taking Appellate Practice to the Next Level" provided almost 0 discussion on any tips and concepts for a practitioner to improve brief writing, etc. His talk should have been re-titled: "The Very Fortunate and Well-connected life of Bashman" Perhaps the NMSB can request outlines of key note speakers well in advance of CLEs to actually determine if such speakers will be on point. Maybe the State Bar already does so? In any event, an enforcement mechanism should be in place to discourage self-aggrandizement in lieu of substantive content.	9/17/2016 5:33 AM
11	The keynote speaker was all ego The lack of an agenda/schedule in the materials was a pain. Why?	9/16/2016 4:58 PM